

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,279	/787,279 02/26/2004 Patrick		034405-014	5157
²¹⁸³⁹ BUCHANAN,	7590 02/21/2007 INGERSOLL & ROONEY	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	LUM, LEON YUN BON		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/787,279	CONNELLY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leon Y. Lum	1641		

	Refere the Filing of an Anneal Brief .				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Leon Y. Lum	1641		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ado	lress	
THE	REPLY FILED <u>24 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
	The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date				
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.	
have tunder set for may re	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion r than three months after the mailing d	of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as	
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th		
<u>AMEI</u>	NDMENTS				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause .	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for	
	(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.		
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		1: t	(DTOL 224)	
4. 📙			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. 🔲 6. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the	
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of	
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFII	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a	
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.	
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		_	
· • · _	<u></u>		V 37 10	01/16/07	
		SU	LONG V. LE PERVISORY PATENT E	EXAMINER	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the previous Office Action. Applicants' arguments filed on January 24, 2007 allege that since Guirguis reference is directed towards a container used for storage and transport of bodily fluids, placing it in a biological organism as taught by Silver reference would "chance the principle of the operation of the container and render such container unsatisfactory for its intended purpose." See page 5, 2nd paragraph.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive. The apparatus of Guirguis is not only described as a collection container, but is explicitly taught as being a biological sensor. See column 1, lines 14-19 and column 2, lines 23-44. Since the apparatus functions as a sensor, its intended purpose would therefore not be altered by placing it in a biological specimen since Silver teaches that the purpose of placing a testing device in vivo is for detecting analytes. Applicants' arguments therefore do not overcome the applied rejections.

Leon Y. Lum Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641 (571) 272-2878